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Abstract - The Conopid flies (Diptera Conopidae) are solitary endoparasitoids, mainly of Aculeate Hymenoptera. At present, 62 Conopid species are known in Italy. In the years 1997-2000 Conopid flies were studied in two sites of Friuli Venezia Giulia (north-eastern Italy) characterized by a different degree of naturalness. Altogether, 13 Conopid species were found; 12 species were observed in the more natural site and 7 in the other. Conops silaceus WIEDMANN in MEIGEN, 1824 was the more frequent. The highest number of specimens and species was collected in August. The adults were found on several blooming herbaceous plants, mainly Compositae, but also Dipsacaceae, Labiatae, Ranunculaceae, Leguminosae, Boraginaceae and Convolvulaceae; the flowers of these plants are frequently visited by their potential hosts.
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Introduction

The larvae of Conopid flies (Diptera Conopidae) are solitary endoparasitoids of adult Aculeate Hymenoptera, in particular Apoidea and Vespidae; a few species are parasitoids of Orthoptera. Females assault the host while flying and very quickly introduce one egg into the host abdomen by means of a particular organ (theca).

(*) First contribution to the knowledge of the Conopid flies.
The adults frequent flowers of several plants, foraging for nectar and acting also as pollinators; the same plants are habitually visited also by their potential hosts.

Approximately 170 Conopid species are recorded from the Palaearctic region (Chvala & Smith, 1988); 62 species, belonging to 11 genera, are known for Italy (Rivosechci & Scaramozzino, 1995; Mtn, 2000).

In this note the results of a study on the Conopid flies in two sites of Friuli Venezia Giulia are reported.

Materials and methods

The survey was carried out during 1997-2000 in two sites of the Friuli Venezia Giulia region (north-eastern Italy), in the province of Udine; the sites are characterized by different degrees of naturalness:
- Site 1 (S1), locality S. Osvaldo (90 m u.s.l.), southern periphery of Udine; the site is located in a flat strongly anthropized area with annual and perennial crops, buildings and roadways;
- Site 2 (S2), locality Pagnacco (160 m u.s.l.), about ten kilometres north of Udine; the site is located in a hilly area in a semi-natural context, with scattered hedges, woods, permanent meadows and annual crops.

In each site samplings were carried out monthly from April to September on sunny days. At each sampling the Conopid flies were captured with a sweep net on blossoming herbaceous plants for about one hour.

In the laboratory the specimens were prepared and then identified using the keys of Chvala (1961; 1965), Smith (1969), Zimina (1989) and Rivosechci (1996), and by comparison with specimens from the Department collections. The scientific nomenclature is that of the checklist of the Italian fauna (Rivosechci & Scaramozzino, 1995).

Results and discussion

In the two areas 60 specimens of Conopidae, belonging to 7 genera and 13 species, were collected (tab. 1). Specimens of several species were found in few numbers, suggesting that in the two sites some Conopid populations are present at low density.

The highest number of specimens (49) and species (12) was observed in site 2, where the environment presents a good level of naturalness, while 11 species belonging to 7 species were found in the other site; 6 species were recorded in both sites (tab. 1). Conops silaceus Wiedmann in Meigen, 1824 was the species more frequently caught.

The finding of 12 Conopid species in site 2 suggests a high level of biodiversity in the area. In fact, in recent preliminary studies carried out in two natural environments of northern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>S1 No</th>
<th>S2 No</th>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Plants visited</th>
<th>Hosts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conops ceratoneura MEIGEN, 1824</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>VIII, IX</td>
<td>Monarda floridensis</td>
<td>unknown (probably Apoidea and Vespidae)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conops flavipes L., 1758</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Monarda floridensis</td>
<td>Bombus spp., Oenmia spp., Vespula sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conops scutellatus MEIGEN, 1804</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Monarda floridensis, Ramunculus acris</td>
<td>Vespidae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conops silaceus Wiedmann in Meigen, 1824</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>VIII, IX</td>
<td>Taraxacum officinale, Scabiosa columbaria</td>
<td>unknown (probably Apoidea)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conops vitellinus Loew, 1847</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>Monarda floridensis</td>
<td>unknown (probably Apoidea and Vespidae)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zodion cinereum (Fabricius, 1794)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>VII, VIII</td>
<td>Monarda floridensis, Helianthus annuus</td>
<td>Halictus spp., Hylae spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopa testacea (L., 1767)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>IV, VI</td>
<td>Myosotis arvensis, Crepis tataricaflora</td>
<td>unknown (probably Apoidea and Vespidae)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanosoma bicolor (Meigen, 1824)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Centaurea scabiosa</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theocoria fulipes (Bouqueau-Desobry, 1830)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>VII, VIII</td>
<td>Ramunculus acris, Senecio inaequidens, Lagurus communis, Convolulus arvensis, Taraxacum officinale, Scabiosa columbaria</td>
<td>unknown (probably Halictus spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theocoria melanocep Rondani, 1857 *</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>Monarda floridensis, Ramunculus acris</td>
<td>unknown (probably Halictus spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicco abdonnalis Kreier, 1915</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>Trifolium repens, Scabiosa triandra</td>
<td>unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicco ferrugineus (L., 1761)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>V, VI</td>
<td>Crepis tataricaflora, Crepis foetida, Succisa pratensis</td>
<td>Bombus spp.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| total specimens | 11    | 49    |
| total species   | 7     | 12    |

1) Smith, 1969; 2) Tomassini, 2000. * one specimens (male) was identified as Theocoria prope melanocep.

Tab. 1 - Conopid species, number of specimens collected in the two sites (S1 and S2), months of collection (i.e. VIII = August), blooming plants visited and indication of the potential hosts.

- Specie di Conopidi, numero di esemplari raccolti nei due siti (S1 e S2), mesi di raccolta (es. VIII = agosto), piante in fioritura visitate e indicazioni sui potenziali ospiti.

Italy a lower number of Conopid species was found: 5 species in the “Bosco della Fontana” (Lombardy region, province of Mantova) (Mtn, 2002) and 5 species in the “Oasi di Campotto” (Po Delta, Emilia Romagna region, province of Ferrara) (Sommagno, 2003). In a wide area on
the outskirts of Rome (central Italy), instead, 29 Conopid species were found during investigations conducted for almost a century (RIVOSECCI & DI GIROLAMO, 2002).

Conopid specimens were found from April to September (tab. 1). The highest number of specimens (37) and species (9) was caught in August; 12 specimens belonging to 4 species were collected in June (fig. 1).

Conopid adults were collected on several flowering plants belonging mainly to the Composite family (genera Taraxacum, Centaurea, Crepis, Lapsana, Helianthus, Senecio) in agreement with the data of TOMASOVIC (2000) relative to Belgium and Luxembourg; adults were also observed on flowering plants of other families: Dipsacaceae (Scabiosa, Succisa), Labiatae (Mentha), Ranunculaceae (Ranunculus), Leguminosae (Trifolium), Boraginaceae (Myosotis) and Convolvulaceae (Convolvulus). Flowers of Mentha longifolia were visited by 7 Conopid species. In the same sites, the plants on which the flies were caught are frequently visited also by several species of Apoidea (QUARANTA et al., 2004).

On the basis of the literature, the potential hosts of the Conopid flies observed in this study are first of all Apoidea, then Vespidae and, in a single case, Orthoptera (Acrididae) (tab. 1). Among the Apoidea, species belonging to genera Bombus, Halictus, Osmia, Megachile are victims of Conopid flies; these wild bees are the most efficient agents in the cross pollination of cultivated or wild plants. Instead, honey bees (Apis mellifera L., 1758) do not seem to be attacked by Conopids, although the possible parasitism by Physocephala

vittata (FABRICIUS, 1794) has been recorded (ZIMINA, 1989); P. vittata has the most elevated number of potential hosts.

As parasitoids, the Conopid flies can be included among bioindicator organisms of environmental quality in agroecosystems.
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